

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignita 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/970,994	10/05/2001	Joong- Hyun Mun	06192.0204.NPUS00	3599	
22930	7590 06/19/2003				
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE LLP			EXAMINER		
	/LVANIA AVENUE N	N	DI GRAZIO, JEANNE A		
WASHINGIC	ON, DC 20004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2871		
	•		DATE MAILED: 06/19/2003	<b>;</b>	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	Offi-	A - 4' O	09/970,994	MUN ET AL.	/			
· Offic		Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		<del></del>	Jeanne A. Di Grazio	2871				
Period fo		ING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover she	et with the correspondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status								
1)🖾	Respons	ive to communication(s) filed on	20 March 2003 .					
2a) <u></u>	This action	on is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Clai	ms						
	, , ,	1-8 is/are pending in the applicat						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	Claim(s) <u>7 and 8</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🛛	Claim(s) 2	2-6 is/are objected to.						
· —	Claim(s) _ on Papers	are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement					
9)[	The specifi	ication is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)[	The drawin	g(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) Objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) 🔲	The propos	sed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)  approved b)	disapproved by the Examin	er.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. →								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice	e of Reference e of Draftspe	ces Cited (PTO-892) rson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 sure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No ce of Informal Patent Application (PT r:				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This communication is in response to Amendment of March 20, 2003.

### **Priority**

Priority to Korean Patent Application No. 2001-01791 (Jan. 12, 2001) is claimed.

# Translation of Foreign Priority Document

The Examiner acknowledges receipt of a certified translation of Korean Patent Application No. 2001-1791 filed on Jan. 12, 2001.

### Response to Double Patenting Rejection

In the First Office Action of Dec. 20, 2002, the Examiner rejected claims 1-7 on a provisional double patenting rejection based on co-pending application to Mun et al. ( 09/928,349 pre-grant publication no.US 2002/0033927 A1). Applicant has cancelled claims 1-7 in the co-pending application 09/928,349 by Preliminary Amendment. Thus, the provisional double patenting rejection is withdrawn.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 7 and 8 are allowed.

Per claims 7 and 8: The current prior art of record fails to teach or to fairly suggest the specific aperture and branch geometries of pixel and common electrodes as recited by Applicant. Kim et al. (US 6,567,144 B1) discloses saw-toothed shaped protrusions on pixel and common electrodes; however, this reference does not appear to claim the geometries as recited by

Art Unit: 2871

Applicant. Furthermore, this reference is disqualified as commonly owned by assignee Samsung Electronics, Inc.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (US 6,573,965 B1).

Per claim 1: Liu has a substrate on which pixel electrodes are formed and the pixel electrodes have apertures (See Figure 3). Liu also has a second substrate on which common electrodes are formed and the common electrodes have apertures (See Figure 4). Liquid crystal is between the substrates (referring to # 314 of either Figures 3 or 4). Liu has bump-like structures acting as spacers on the substrates (for example, #s 309-312). In Figures 3-5 of Liu, the center portions of the first and second aperture patterns are straight (if one draws an imaginary line down the center of the aperture one arrives at a straight line) and the apertures are formed alternatingly in parallel (this is clearly illustrated in Figure 5, for example). In Liu, the bump-like structures are positioned at the ends of the apertures (Please see Figure 5: referring to the combinations of 404/407, 405/408, 406/409, 311/302, and 310/303). Thus, Applicant's claim 1 reads on at least Liu Figures 3-5 and is thus anticipated by Liu.

Application/Control Number: 09/970,994

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeanne A. Di Grazio whose telephone number is (703)305-7009.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim, can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)746-8741 for regular communications and (703)746-8741 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0956.

Jeanne Andrea Di Grazio

Robert Kim, SPE

JDG June 12, 2003

> RUSERT H. KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800